## Interview Summary

Application No. 08/897,441

Applicant(s)

Fibi et al

*Immary* Examiner

Karen Canella

Art Unit 1642



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Karen Canella	(3)
(2) M. Puglielli	(4)
Date of Interview Sep 10, 2002	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: pending  Identification of prior art discussed:	
any other comments:	Il nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or f Paper No. 20, mailed March 12, 2002 and issue a new Office
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	

Faren Janulla Examiner's signature, if required